

MDR Tracking Number: M2-03-1362-01
IRO Certificate#5259

July 17, 2003

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a medical physician [board certified] in physical medicine and rehabilitation. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by ____, or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

See Attached Physician Determination

____ hereby certifies that the reviewing physician is on Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Approved Doctor List (ADL). Additionally, said physician has certified that no known conflicts of interest exist between him and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for determination prior to referral to ____.

CLINICAL HISTORY

This is a 40-year-old firefighter who sustained a lumbar injury while lifting. This was treated conservatively for several months. In that time there is no indication for the need for surgical intervention. Physical therapy and other modalities were provided. A neurosurgical assessment was completed and there was no surgical recommendation made. A functional capacity evaluation was completed noting that the claimant could do medium to heavy activities and that his occupation required very heavy PDL.

REQUESTED SERVICE (S)

Request for work hardening program

DECISION

Endorse the denial of work hardening program.

RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION

This is a gentleman with very specific and heavy demands to complete his occupation. There was a specific injury and a period of inactivity that could lead to some measure of deconditioning. Clearly there is no psychological aspect to this case requiring a multi-disciplinary program such as work hardening.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©)

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3)

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 21st day of July 2003.